# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:: Kayhan Kucukcakar et al.

Application No.: 10/773,058 Art Unit.: 2128

Filed: 02/04/2004 Examiner: Shambhavi K. Patel

For: "System And Method For Providing Distributed Static Timing Analysis With Merged Results"

Docket No.: SYN-0513

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: March 25, 2008

### **AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL**

- 1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.
- 2. **STATUS**: Applicant is other than a small entity.
- 3. **EXTENSION OF TERM:** A Petition And Fee For Extension Of Time (37.C.F.R. 1.136(a)) is filed herewith.
- 4. **FEE FOR CLAIMS:** The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated below:

	(Col.1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	LARGE ENTITY			
Claims Remaining After Amendment			Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee		
Total	77	Minus	79	= -0-	x \$50 =	\$-0-		
Indep.	8	Minus	12	= -0-	x \$210 =	\$-0-		
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim					+ \$370 =	\$0		
					Total Addit, Fee	\$-0-		

5. **FEE PAYMENT and DEFICIENCY:** This Amendment is being electronically filed and fees should be charged to our American Express credit card. However, in the event additional fees are due, or there is an underpayment of fees, the Commissioner is authorized to charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0574 (Docket No. SYN-0513).

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Jeanette S. Harms Reg. No. 35537

Customer No. 35273

Tel.: (408) 451-5907

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Kayhan Kucukcaker et al.

Assignee: Synopsys, Inc.

Title: System And Method For Providing Distributed

Static Timing Analysis With Merged Results

Serial No.: 10/773,058 File Date: February 4, 2004

Examiner: Shambhavi K. Patel Art Unit: 2128

Docket No.: SYN-0513

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: March 25, 2008

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO SECOND OFFICE ACTION

#### Initial Comments:

This response addresses the rejection of Claim 1-9, 11-30, 32-43, and 45-82. Claims 20-24 and 79-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 79-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Publication 2004/0044976 (Schultz). Claims 1-9, 11-30, 32-43, 45-78, and 81-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being rendered obvious by "Focus Report: Timing Analysis" (Schulz) and Schultz.

Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 25, 30, 33-36, 38-42, 45, 50, 51, 53, 55-58, 61, 62, 67, 68, 70, 72-75, and 78 are amended herein. Claims 2, 11, 20-24, 26, 46, 54, 63, 71, and 79-82 are cancelled herein, thereby rendering the rejection of

those claims moot. Claims 10, 31, and 44 were previously cancelled. Claims 83-96 are added.

(SN: 10/773,058)

2